

Post-Digital Aesthetics

Nurturing Technology-Mediated Songwriting in Music Education

Daniel Walzer

Indiana University Indianapolis

In the late 1990s, “post-digital” emerged to describe creative possibilities afforded by obsolete or malfunctioning technology (Cascone, 2000). While some viewed technological limitations as impediments, others embraced constraints as inspiration, transforming them into creative opportunities. This ethos extends beyond late 1990s technology, from four-track cassette recordings to chiptune and lo-fi music. While affordable digital technology facilitates new songwriting modes, less research examines how educators can challenge students to push creative boundaries through technology-mediated songwriting. This article explores how educators can leverage digital technology to expand students’ conceptions of songwriting and stimulate creativity through pedagogical approaches informed by educational psychology and learning science.

Keywords

Post-digital
Digital Audio Workstation
Actor-Network Theory
Music Technology
Songwriting

received 21 August 2025
revised 21 November 2026
accepted 11 February 2026
published 12 March 2026





1 Introduction

Post-digitalism has evolved significantly since the early 2000s, transforming musical creation. Composer Kim Casone coined the term in a 2000 article for the *Computer Music Journal*, describing how failing technology inspires new ways of creative decision-making. At the time, obsolete interfaces, computer modems, and analog technology were considered outdated. Casone argued that the limitations of each piece of gear could be repurposed for creative expression through electroacoustic composition and interactive performances, drawing on the glitch-like artifacts produced with *failing* hardware. Similar ideas exist, given the rapid advancement of artificial intelligence, as musicians grapple with how to navigate automation. Today, as music technology advances, writing and recording songs are more accessible than ever. Musicians capture ideas through voice memos, loops and virtual instruments in digital audio workstations, or traditional guitar and notebook methods. With artificial intelligence integration, songwriters now access large language models that generate polished songs from simple prompts (Zaddach, 2024). These advances remain controversial, as AI platforms like Suno have used commercial recordings to train algorithms without crediting or compensating copyright holders (Nayar, 2025).

As music technology evolves and becomes more accessible—a process known as democratization—it both enables and complicates how people connect and collaborate using modern tools (Harkins & Prior, 2022). The evolving conception of what constitutes a songwriter has coincided with unprecedented opportunities to rapidly record, produce, and distribute music to global online audiences (Bennett, 2011). Barriers to hobbyist songwriting have never been lower. Advanced editing in digital audio workstations allows guitarists to create and edit scratch parts seamlessly. Accomplished vocal skills are no longer required for demonstration recordings, as pitch correction software like Auto-Tune and Melodyne addresses intonation issues easily (Bridges, 2020). These technological advances appear to create ideal conditions for songwriters, particularly amateur musicians. While songwriting quality depends on style, instrumentation, genre, and intent, post-digitalism offers a valuable framework for assessing creative work by emphasizing how creative constraints can be leveraged to generate ideas through reflection and experimentation (Barnard, 2017).

2 The Constraints-Creativity Relationship

Constraints and creativity have long had a close relationship in music production. In the earliest days of recording, disc-based recording meant that engineers and producers had to be strategic, trying to capture as much as possible in a single take



(Haber, 2014). Before digital editing and unlimited tracks, musicians recorded together at the same time. Engineers used careful microphone placement to capture complete performances, usually lasting just a few minutes (Bachman, 1977).

As technology evolved, the recording studio became an instrument itself. Overdubbing—largely credited to guitarist Les Paul’s early work—opened new songwriting possibilities by letting musicians layer multiple parts (Lawrence, 2008). These recordings were then edited by splicing tape together and *bouncing* tracks onto available space. This process took off in the 1960s with Brian Wilson’s work on *Pet Sounds* and George Martin and Geoff Emerick’s recording of Sgt. Pepper with the Beatles (Butler, 2012; Julien, 2018). When four-track cassette recording became available to home musicians, they could use similar techniques by recording parts and bouncing takes onto open tracks. The problem was that each bounce made the audio quality worse, adding noise with every transfer (Jones, 1990). Musicians had to work within these limits and think strategically about how to layer new parts.

A similar thing happened with early video game music. Limited memory made 8-bit technology the standard, since gaming consoles couldn’t handle high-quality audio (Collins, 2007). These constraints forced composers to think creatively and work with what they had. As technology improved, video game sound design and music became more complex. But the limitations of 8-bit technology became an artistic choice in chiptune music and similar lo-fi genres (Marquez, 2014). Musicians embraced those *crunchy* filtered sounds as creative tools, using both original hardware and software. Musicians have long used *hacking* to modify and repurpose electronics in new ways, especially in live performances (Collins, 2009).

The point is that technology has shaped how we think about songs. Songs can be compositions, improvisations, performances, and creative works all at once. Technology influences how music is arranged and organized, whether it has lyrics or not, and across both commercial and experimental genres. This offers opportunities to explore how post-digital ideas might inspire creativity for students new to songwriting.

3 Creative Constraints and Songwriting with Music Technology

Technological advances extend far beyond recording programs alone. Digital Audio Workstations (DAWs) offer abundant possibilities for editing and manipulating sound, yet they represent just one component of an expanding ecosystem that includes affordable musical instruments, software-based synthesizers (both standalone programs and those embedded within DAWs), amplifiers, diverse microphones, and audio interfaces. Additionally, programs like MuseScore and online platforms such as Soundtrap provide collaborative features that enable students to work together on songwriting projects, further expanding creative



possibilities and ethnographic scholarship on distance-based collaborations using music technology (Frankel, 2021).

Despite the broad appeal and accessibility of these tools, relatively little is known about how music technology educators leverage the myriad features available to scaffold learning through creative constraint (Candy, 2007; Tromp & Baer, 2022). At first glance, constraining creativity may appear to run contrary to the broader aims of music education. However, the intention is not to ignore digital editing, mixing, and music-making capabilities, nor to artificially complicate songwriting through obscure or unorthodox techniques. Rather, the overarching goal is to examine how educators can scaffold songwriting concepts with and through technology by challenging students to begin with simple foundations, build capacity incrementally, and engage in problem-solving approaches they have not previously encountered, as I discuss later in this article.

To bridge post-digital aesthetics with practical pedagogical aims, I draw on Actor-Network Theory (ANT) as a theoretical framework for examining the connections between songwriting, technology, and learning in music education. Post-digital aesthetics describe a *limitation-as-inspiration* philosophy, particularly when using technology to explore creative expression through songwriting and performing. As Fenwick and Edwards (2010, 2011) explain, ANT functions less as a specific theory and more as a lens for understanding the complex relationships among human beings, environments, and objects, including media and technology. This interdisciplinary framework, rich in theory and philosophy, has garnered considerable scholarly interest over recent decades and provides a valuable framework for analyzing the multiple stakeholders engaged in the continual process of generating new songwriting ideas through technology (Shelvock, 2019).

Throughout this discussion, I draw on learning science, particularly self-regulation, and its relationship with ANT to explore how the evolving relationship between the emerging songwriter and music technology engages multiple creative, cognitive, and active learning processes. In this dynamic, the roles of both musician and technology remain fluid throughout the development of a completed song. Following an examination of these elements and their connection to music education, I conclude with curricular recommendations for educators to explore with their students, along with implications for teaching practice and future research.

4 Actor-Network Theory and Human-Technology Creation

Building on his original conceptions of ANT, Latour's (1996) update clarifies two essential points about the relationship between humans and technology. First, the relationship between human beings and both technology and technical networks is multifaceted. Using the analogy of a computer network, Latour explains that technical infrastructure represents only one dimension for describing the



relationships among actors in society. While various people and places may incorporate technical components, this is not universally the case.

Latour's second point emphasizes that understanding social networks, particularly individuals and their relationships to organizations and society at large, is fundamental to ANT. Therefore, when examining how people interact with technology, Latour argues that we must consider complex power structures, global influences, and non-human actors alike. In music education contexts, this means we must examine not only how technology influences music-making in specific ways (e.g., through mobile apps), but also the social and interpersonal factors that shape our understanding of these interactions. Furthermore, as Callon notes, "the market" (1999) influences human perceptions of both actors and non-actors within these networks. This market influence underscores the dynamic nature of actor-network relationships, suggesting that no definition within these networks remains fixed. The technology used in songwriting, along with the musicians themselves, are distinct actors, and their roles in the creative process ebb and flow, particularly when starting with constraints.

5 Actor-Network Theory and Technology-Mediated Songwriting

ANT connects with songwriting by highlighting how specific constraints emerge from the digital tools commonly used in creative work. Within this theoretical framework, actors comprise both human and non-human entities that mutually influence the songwriting process (McIntyre, 2016). When using voice memos to record demos and scratch vocals, for instance, the phone's processing limitations directly affect the analog-to-digital conversion quality. A musician recording a demo with a mobile phone will likely produce mediocre audio quality unless they use a condenser microphone attachment. Voice memos are not intended for commercial release. They provide a means to digitally capture an idea that can later be developed into a polished recording using a microphone, an audio interface, and a DAW.

Additionally, Voice Memos provide documentation of work processes, as songwriters can listen to the evolution of their ideas over time, leading to higher-quality output (Rideout, 2015). For some musicians, recording a quick voice memo eliminates the pressure and intimidation of performing in professional studios surrounded by expensive equipment (Rotondi, 2021). Taking the idea a step further, one might consider how the simplicity of using a mobile phone to capture a raw performance releases a performer's inhibitions, which are established practices in rap vocal performance and production through Soundcloud (Phillips, 2021).

Mobile technology also enables users to record basic audio and MIDI tracks. GarageBand is available on iPhones, while BandLab has gained popularity for its mobile recording capabilities. Most mobile software options impose limited track counts due to the processing power required for audio conversion and storage.



While this limitation appears logical on the surface, it can serve as a catalyst for post-digital creativity for novice musicians wishing to document ideas quickly.

The ANT framework suggests that mobile DAWs exert influence over the recording process (Charrieras & Mouillot, 2015). Post-digitalism rejects technological obsolescence, instead embracing a use-what's-available-philosophy. Like the track bouncing required during analog recording, these technological limitations demand strategic thinking about layering musical parts. Such moments provide opportunities for educators to help students identify creative solutions. How might students maximize limited track availability when recording exclusively on their phones? How could a voice memo recording contribute to a complete production later? One possibility is to view the recording on a cell phone, much like a notepad, as a way of writing lyrics. Many of the ideas are rough, messy, and imperfect, just as lyrics are erased and rewritten using a pencil. As the ideas are refined, one might then move on to using a DAW with built-in presets, virtual instruments, and AI-enhanced tools, such as Logic's Session Player rhythm section. The alternative, of course, is to bypass the phone and start writing immediately with a recording software. The point is that both are viable ways to generate songwriting ideas and provide opportunities for educators to explore ideas with their students.

One could argue that sophisticated technology is unnecessary for songwriting. Picking up a guitar, playing piano chords, humming melodies, or writing lyrics: none of these fundamental activities requires expensive equipment. Nevertheless, the constraints imposed by specific technologies can stimulate valuable discussions about musical structure, arrangement, and style (Magnusson, 2010). More broadly, these limitations raise important questions: What constitutes a technology-mediated song? Which elements are essential for distinguishing a song from a composition? These open-ended inquiries offer educators productive entry points for engaging students in meaningful dialogue about contemporary songwriting practices.

The effective integration of specific technologies in music creation depends mainly on the student's artistic intention and chosen musical genre. Different genres employ distinct arrangements, instrumentation, and production techniques that shape technological requirements. For instance, an intimate folk-style guitar melody might require a sparse, acoustic-based arrangement with minimal technological intervention. Conversely, contemporary R&B compositions commonly feature processed vocals enhanced through audio effects, pitch correction, sampling, and electronic drum programming.

This relationship between genre and technology is symbiotic: the creative demands of a particular song determine the complexity and extent of technological integration required during the compositional process. Clauhs (2021) reiterates this point by offering multiple notation options for educators to use in teaching songwriting in popular music. Likewise, Eiksund and Reistadbakk (2020) advocate for classrooms outfitted with a balance of music and technology options that reflect student interest and cultural relevance.



What ANT reminds us of is that human beings actively engage with the technology they use for specific purposes. Musicians not only rely on digital technology (such as recording software) to document work-in-progress, but also engage with it at different levels for specific means. The depth and breadth of the technology can affect how a songwriter chooses to refine an idea, and post-digitalism reinforces the idea that simple, even outdated tools can help generate inspiration and curate stylistic choices (as in the case of genres like mumble rap). Here, songwriting is a broad construct that generally implies a combination of lyrical and musical content for expressive purposes.

6 Music Technology and Translation Theory

Within the ANT framework, both musician and technology function as collaborative actors within a broader creative network encompassing all songwriting processes. For novice musicians and lyricists, music production software often exerts greater influence over compositional decision-making (Clauhs, 2020; Clauhs & Dozoretz, 2022; Marrington, 2017). These students typically work with pre-existing loops, MIDI technology, virtual instruments, and AI-enhanced collaborative tools, many of which are available as third-party plugins integrated into DAWs and notation software packages. Apple's Logic Pro exemplifies this technological influence through its Session Player mode, which generates responsive rhythm section accompaniment (bass, keyboards, drums) that adapts to existing chord progressions, melodies, and time signatures. While not comprehensive, these AI-generated tracks offer multiple playing styles and allow users to configure sounds appropriate to their compositions. During the mixing and mastering stage, AI likewise has been used to analyze tracks and prepare them for final delivery using sophisticated algorithms (Birtchnell, 2018).

Callon's (1984) Theory of Translation provides a theoretical foundation for understanding this fluid, technology-mediated creativity, particularly how each actor's role remains process-oriented as social dynamics influence artistic endeavors. The Theory of Translation is a process for building support for how technology is used through social communities. At one time, using a cell phone to record an album might have been viewed as unorthodox, as it could not provide the same polished quality as a studio recording. Recording soft vocals on a cell phone can capture an idea without disturbing others. Today, it defines an entire vocal production sensibility, as artists like Billie Eilish inspire thousands of imitators on TikTok; the soft, produced vocal, driven by sparse arrangements and basic technology, shapes stylistic choices in popular music (Barna & McLaughlin, 2024).

The songwriter's objectives determine technology's influence on the creative process, alongside prevailing musical trends shaped by audience preferences and potential collaborative relationships. Initially, students often employ software intuitively, with limited awareness of its impact on their creative output. However,



as they develop greater experience and foundational knowledge in both technology and musicianship, their aesthetic preferences and decision-making processes become increasingly sophisticated (Pendergrast, 2021; Walzer, 2020). This evolution transforms their relationship with technology from haphazard usage to deliberate consideration of how technological tools translate artistic concepts into fully realized productions with the DAW (Boon, 2023). Callon's Translation Theory offers educators a framework for recontextualizing technological constraints as scaffolding opportunities that support student development.

6.1 Advancing Pedagogy via Translation Theory

One practical application of Translation Theory involves strategically limiting technological choices in the songwriting process, particularly for beginning students. Educators might require students to select a single tool (such as a mobile phone, tablet, or specific software program) and use it to develop a complete song idea of a specified length. This constraint compels students to consider both how they will utilize their chosen tool to compose the song and how they will organize its various components to deliver a finished product. For instance, students might create an entire composition using only a smartphone's voice memos application, working with an acoustic instrument, or beginning solely with their voice.

Through Callon's Translation Theory lens, this approach positions the student songwriter, the single technological tool, and the intended musical product as distinct actors within a collaborative creative network. By constraining the available recording tools, students must maximize the potential of their chosen element, which challenges them to engage in innovative design and problem-solving approaches. This process encourages students to adopt post-digital aesthetic principles, embracing technological limitations as creative opportunities rather than obstacles, ultimately fostering novel approaches to composition and production by combining technology and collaboration (Fawn, 2019).

7 Constraints Based Pedagogy and Distributed Cognition

While both constraint-based approaches and ANT address interactions among heterogeneous elements in creative practice, they do so from different epistemological positions: the former models adaptive processes, while the latter maps relational agency. Hutchins's (2000) notion of distributed cognition can help link these by emphasizing how cognitive processes emerge across people and artifacts within creative networks. Learning encompasses intricate processes that transfer information from short-term working memory to long-term memory through mental representations, decision-making, and tactile engagement. Hutchins argued that distributed cognition extends beyond individual cognitive processes to include the social and relational dimensions of interpersonal



interactions, especially the collaborative dimensions of songwriting, which include emotional, musical, and mental support (Herbst et al., 2025). Cognition, therefore, transcends an individual's capacity to retain information independently. Distributed cognition operates as a multimodal system that encompasses individual skills within specific networks, as well as the physical and cultural objects present environments (Hollan, Hutchins & Kirsh, 2000). Like ANT, distributed cognition rejects singular explanatory factors, instead recognizing the complexity of everyday life and the multiple influences that shape thinking and action (Hutchins, 2020).

In musical contexts, this theoretical framework requires educators to remain responsive to students' fluid relationships with technology while supporting them in developing culturally relevant conceptions of musical composition through personal and group work (Deitrick et al., 2015). The collaborative support that students provide one another through idea generation, software troubleshooting, and feedback on works-in-progress becomes equally significant alongside direct instruction. This peer-to-peer learning exemplifies distributed cognition in practice, as knowledge creation emerges through the network of relationships between students, technology, and cultural contexts rather than through isolated individual effort (Pignato & Begany, 2015).

Collaborative relationships also enable students to reduce cognitive load through strategic task distribution. When working in small groups where each student addresses a specific aspect of composition, collective efforts often yield stronger finished products than individual work alone. For instructors, reducing cognitive load requires intentional focus on essential technological aspects most relevant to students' songwriting objectives while minimizing peripheral tools that may fragment attention (Sweller, 1994). As students develop confidence with a single technological approach, educators can introduce additional brief projects that expand options gradually, such as progressing from voice memo recordings to working with limited tracks in a DAW. The pace and scope of this technological progression should respond to both students' and educators' prior knowledge in technology and songwriting, requiring adaptive pedagogical approaches that remain responsive to emerging needs and capabilities. Teachers can gauge this knowledge through low-stakes formative assessments, which might include a questionnaire or short assignment early on in a term to understand where knowledge gaps might exist and how best to address them (Austin, Dobbs & Walzer, 2024).

8 Building Capacity and Knowledge Transfer

Knowledge Transfer Theory offers a valuable lens for evaluating the roles of various actors in creative songwriting processes. Drawing from educational psychology literature, Barnett and Ceci (2002) argue that knowledge transfer remains difficult to assess, particularly given the lack of scholarly consensus regarding precise



terminology and evaluative conditions for long-term retention. Beyond clarifying taxonomies of knowledge transfer development, the authors emphasize that research must establish realistic endpoints for specific knowledge domains. When considering the boundaries of knowledge transfer across contexts, clearly defined endpoints become essential for evaluating learning quality and depth. In music education settings, Forrester (2018) reiterates that instructional strategies must place students in scenarios where they can apply what they learn on a small scale, and then use that experience to make improvements. Songwriting might start with short pieces using simple technology and eventually progress to full recording sessions with multiple instruments and tracks.

Applied to music technology contexts, this framework raises questions about the extent to which digital tools should be integrated into song creation. For instance, what constitutes the minimum threshold of acoustic elements or specific musical forms necessary for a completed composition? While such questions merit consideration, they remain deliberately open-ended and extend beyond the scope of individual investigations. However, a constraints-based pedagogical approach addresses these complexities by focusing instruction on manageable technological applications, by having students pick one tool to use for creating a musical arrangement, such as a single microphone, or using a found object as an instrument. This approach enables students to complete discrete tasks using accessible technology, thereby reducing cognitive demands while building foundational competencies that support more sophisticated future applications.

9 Post Digital Songwriting Examples

While this article has primarily focused on developing theoretical frameworks for songwriting, creativity, and technology integration, practical pedagogical applications warrant equal consideration. The following three approaches explore technology-mediated songwriting concepts through mobile technology, hardware, software, and temporal constraints. These strategies are designed to be adaptable and may prove valuable for pre-service music educators, K-12 students, and novice songwriters seeking accessible entry points into creative practice.

9.1 Example One: Track Limit Constraints with Digital Audio Workstations

The first approach leverages DAWs to introduce students to virtual instruments, loops, and basic recording techniques through a constrained project framework. Teachers begin by having students create a 60-second composition using no more than four to eight tracks. Virtual DAWs such as Soundtrap, BandLab, and Apple's GarageBand enable students to explore existing loops and beats that align with their musical interests. As students drag and drop these elements into their projects, educators can guide them to consider which musical components warrant emphasis.



For instrumental compositions, students might focus on melodic development, rhythmic grooves, and bassline construction. Once students establish an arrangement, teachers can introduce simple mixing techniques for balancing audio levels and applying appropriate effects. Vocal-based compositions require different considerations, as students should consider adding instrumentation gradually to accommodate vocal recording space. While audio interfaces and dedicated microphones provide superior sound quality, teachers may opt to have students record voice memos separately and import these recordings into the DAW subsequently.

This project structure exposes students to DAW functionality while encouraging creativity within manageable parameters. Additionally, these platforms facilitate peer collaboration and group projects where students can assume distinct roles in the creative process, such as engineer, instrumentalist, or lyricist, thereby modeling professional music production workflows.

9.2 Example Two: Mobile Technology Option

The second approach requires students to record a 60-second composition using a single mobile application or portable recorder. While various mobile DAW applications exist across platforms, this method emphasizes minimalist recording techniques for capturing melodies and accompanying parts. Using voice memo applications or similar recording software, students can incorporate instrumentation that aligns with their musical interests, such as guitar, a cappella vocals, or percussion. This constraint presents the challenge of working within limited audio bandwidth and recording quality when capturing individual musical elements.

Students who choose to incorporate metronomes or timing tools must consider tempo variations, structural changes, and the integration of individual parts into a cohesive arrangement. Although this approach permits multiple tracks within the chosen application, students must limit their recording tools to that single platform. For final presentation, educators may require students to export their recorded segments and compile them using an audio editing software such as Audacity, thereby introducing basic audio editing skills while maintaining the constraint-based framework.

9.3 Example Three: Real-Time/One Take Option

In the third example, teachers might introduce a more flexible option for students to craft a song via live performance. The idea is for the students to plan out as much of the song as possible before they hit record. As with the other options, teachers are free to modify learning objectives to fit the needs of the project. Students may improvise, use found sounds or any other items that capture their interest. In doing so, the song's definition may expand and incorporate multiple stylistic approaches. The *one take* option also mirrors how traditional recording studios handled sessions before the advent of tape splicing and editing. Correspondingly, this project may



appeal to those working collaboratively on writing a song. A live recording might happen in multiple ways, including recording without a click track, or a *live to two-track* approach, which resembles a live broadcast or performance with just a few microphones and instruments. This project might be more appropriate for songwriters and musicians with more experience, and similarly may be paired with aspiring sound engineers tasked with capturing the take, and happen after spending time practicing, experimenting, and preparing for the event.

The third approach introduces live performance recording as a constraint-based compositional method. Students must record their complete composition in a single, unedited take, regardless of their chosen instrumentation or recording technology. This method requires students to plan their entire arrangement before initiating the recording process, emphasizing preparation and performance skills alongside technological competency.

Within this framework, students may incorporate improvisation, found sounds, or any acoustic materials that align with their creative vision, potentially expanding conventional definitions of song structure to encompass multiple stylistic approaches. The single-take constraint mirrors traditional recording studio practices that preceded tape splicing and digital editing capabilities, connecting contemporary students with historical recording methodologies.

10 Discussion and Future Directions

While constraints-based pedagogy is not inherently novel, ANT and related theoretical frameworks offer approaches to creativity that extend beyond passive technology instruction. Just as multiple methods exist for inspiring lyrical composition, songwriting remains fundamentally an expressive and deeply personal tool for learning (Montanari, 2024). Post-digital perspectives emphasize the potential utility of all technological tools, including those previously considered obsolete, and the same principle applies to pedagogical approaches. Challenging students to disrupt familiar patterns and expand their creative boundaries requires strategic and deliberate technology integration that prioritizes compositional needs over technological complexity.

Furthermore, while music-making through technology involves multiple cognitive and creative processes, research in learning science supports backward design methodologies for curriculum development. This educational planning approach describes where instructors start with the end goals—the desired learning outcomes—and then work backward to design assessments and learning activities that support those outcomes. Deeper investigations of ANT and post-digital theory can inspire educators to pose critical questions regarding authorship and the musician-technology relationship in creative practice. For instance, discussions about incorporating pre-existing loops and samples in songwriting can examine how utilizing sounds created by others influences compositional decision-making and concepts of authorship.



These inquiries can also inform collaborative assessment approaches where classes collectively establish feedback mechanisms through formative evaluation strategies. Examples include reflective journaling, self-assessment protocols, and workflow documentation throughout project cycles. Educators interested in formative assessment might also solicit student input regarding peer feedback structures for ongoing projects.

ANT, post-digitalism, and related psychological theories demonstrate inherent interdisciplinarity, drawing from social, behavioral, and cognitive sciences. Their strength lies in providing conceptual frameworks that, while originating outside music education, offer sufficient commonalities to support future research initiatives. Potential investigations include longitudinal studies examining how students utilize technology across different educational levels, employing mixed methods approaches to assess student and educator perceptions of creative processes and technology's influence on learning. Additional research might explore how constraint-based pedagogy affects other musical practices, including performance, arranging, improvisation, and design.

The theories explored throughout this discussion emphasize that creativity operates as both fluid and process-oriented, independent of technological integration levels. Each actor within the network—student-musician, technology, educator, and audience—functions within a broader system influenced by social relationships, individual capacity, and technological affordances. Educators considering constraint-based pedagogical integration should begin by identifying accessible technologies and collaborating with students to establish limitation scenarios that emphasize low-stakes exploration. Recognizing that constraint-based approaches may not suit all learners; educators are encouraged to adapt methodologies that engage their specific student populations and design units that inspire novel approaches to songwriting practice.

References

- Austin, J., Dobbs, C., & Walzer, D. (2024, October). Building a Conceptual Model of Independent Studio Production in Undergraduate Music Technology Courses Through Authentic Assessment. In *College Music Symposium* (Vol. 64, No. 2, pp. 134–153). College Music Society.
- Bachman, W. S. (1977). The LP and the single. *Journal of the Audio Engineering Society*, 25(10/11), 821–823.
- Barna, A., & McLaughlin, C. (2024). Vocal Production, Mimesis, and Social Media in Bedroom Pop. *Music Theory Online*, 30(4).
- Barnard, J. (2017). Testing possibilities: On negotiating writing practices in a 'postdigital' age (tools and methods). *New Writing*, 14(2), 275–289.



- Barnett, S. M., & Ceci, S. J. (2002). When and where do we apply what we learn? A taxonomy for far transfer. *Psychological Bulletin*, 128(4), 612–628.
- Bennett, J. (2011). Collaborative songwriting—the ontology of negotiated creativity in popular music studio practice. *Journal on the Art of Record Production*, (5).
- Birtchnell, T. (2018). Listening without ears: Artificial intelligence in audio mastering. *Big Data & Society*, 5(2), 2053951718808553.
- Boon, H. (2023). Exploring art schema and their relevance to DAW teaching and pedagogy: Examples of artistic practice in the field of music production. *Journal of Popular Music Education*, 7(3), 285–302.
- Bridges Jr, C. R. (2020, October). Effects of software tuning programs on vocal recordings. In *Audio Engineering Society Convention 149*. Audio Engineering Society.
- Butler, J. (2012). The Beach Boys' Pet Sounds and the musicology of record production. In *The art of record production: An introductory reader for a new academic field* (pp. 223–234).
- Callon, M. (1984). Some elements of a sociology of translation: Domestication of the scallops and the fishermen of St Brieuc Bay. *The Sociological Review*, 32(1_suppl), 196–233.
- Callon, M. (1999). Actor-network theory—the market test. *The Sociological Review*, 47(1_suppl), 181–195.
- Candy, L. (2007). Constraints and creativity in the digital arts. *Leonardo*, 40(4), 366–367.
- Cascone, K. (2000). The aesthetics of failure: “Post-digital” tendencies in contemporary computer music. *Computer Music Journal*, 24(4), 12–18.
- Charrieras, D., & Mouillot, F. (2015). Getting out of the black box: Analogising the use of computers in electronic music and sound art. *Organised Sound*, 20(2), 191–199.
- Clauhs, M. (2020). Songwriting with digital audio workstations in an online community. *Journal of Popular Music Education*, 4(2), 237–252.
- Clauhs, M. (2021). Songwriting with iconic notation in a music technology classroom. *Music Educators Journal*, 107(3), 22–30.
- Clauhs, M., & Dozoretz, B. (2022). The DAW revolution. In *The Routledge companion to creativities in music education* (pp. 217–227). Routledge.
- Collins, K. (2007). In the loop: Creativity and constraint in 8-bit video game audio. *Twentieth-Century Music*, 4(2), 209–227.



- Collins, N. (2009). *Handmade electronic music: The art of hardware hacking*. Routledge.
- Deitrick, E., Shapiro, R. B., Ahrens, M. P., Fiebrink, R., Lehrman, P. D., & Farooq, S. (2015, August). Using distributed cognition theory to analyze collaborative computer science learning. In *Proceedings of the eleventh annual international conference on international computing education research* (pp. 51–60).
- Eiksund, Ø. J., & Reistadbakk, E. (2020). Knowledge for the future music teacher: Authentic learning spaces for teaching songwriting and production using music technology. In *Music technology in education—channeling and challenging perspectives*.
- Fawns, T. (2019). Postdigital education in design and practice. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 1(1), 132–145.
- Fenwick, T., & Edwards, R. (2010). *Actor-network theory in education*. Routledge.
- Fenwick, T., & Edwards, R. (2011). Introduction: Reclaiming and renewing actor network theory for educational research. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 43(sup1), 1–14.
- Forrester, S. H. (2018). Transfer of learning and music understanding: A review of literature. *Update: Applications of Research in Music Education*, 37(1), 30–35.
- Frankel, J. T. (2021). Choosing engaging tools for the music classroom. In *Creative music making at your fingertips: A mobile technology guide for music educators* (p. 43).
- Haber, C. (2014). Seeing voices: Imaging the earliest sound recordings. *Physics Today*, 67(3), 68–69.
- Harkins, P., & Prior, N. (2022). (Dis) locating democratization: Music technologies in practice. *Popular Music and Society*, 45(1), 84–103.
- Herbst, J. P., Williams, K., Tolstad, I. M., & Barber, S. (2025). The Benefits of Collaborative Popular Music Songwriting: A Spectrum of Artist-Songwriter Involvement. *Popular Music and Society*, 48(1), 1–24.
- Hollan, J., Hutchins, E., & Kirsh, D. (2000). Distributed cognition: Toward a new foundation for human-computer interaction research. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*, 7(2), 174–196.
- Hutchins, E. (2000). Distributed cognition. *International Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 138(1), 1–10.
- Hutchins, E. (2020). The distributed cognition perspective on human interaction. In *Roots of human sociality* (pp. 375–398). Routledge.



- Jones, S. (1990). The cassette underground. *Popular Music & Society*, 14(1), 75–84.
- Julien, O. (2018). 'A lucky man who made the grade': Sgt. Pepper and the rise of a phonographic tradition in twentieth-century popular music. In *Sgt. Pepper and the Beatles* (pp. 147–170). Routledge.
- Latour, B. (1996). On actor-network theory: A few clarifications. *Soziale Welt*, 47(4), 369–381. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40878163>
- Lawrence, R. (2008). *The early years of the Les Paul legacy, 1915-1963*. Hal Leonard Corporation.
- Magnusson, T. (2010). Designing constraints: Composing and performing with digital musical systems. *Computer Music Journal*, 34(4), 62–73.
- Marquez, I. (2014). Playing new music with old games: The chiptune subculture. *G/A|M/E Games as Art, Media, Entertainment*, 1(3).
- Marrington, M. (2017). Composing with the digital audio workstation. In *The singer-songwriter handbook* (pp. 77–89).
- McIntyre, P. (2016). Songwriting as a creative system in action. In *The creative system in action: Understanding cultural production and practice* (pp. 47–59). Palgrave Macmillan UK.
- Montanari, L. (2024). Songs and songwriting as transformative learning experiences: Three singer-songwriters reflect on their “soul work”. *Journal of Transformative Education*, 22(2), 201–217.
- Nayar, V. (2025). The ethics of AI generated music: A case study on Suno AI. *GRACE: Global Review of AI Community Ethics*, 3(1).
- Pendergast, S. (2021). Creative music-making with digital audio workstations. *Music Educators Journal*, 108(2), 44–56.
- Phillips, M. T. (2021). Soundcloud rap and alien creativity: Transforming rap and popular music through mumble rap. *Journal of Popular Music Studies*, 33(3), 125–144.
- Pignato, J. M., & Begany, G. M. (2015). Deterritorialized, multilocalized and distributed: Musical space, poietic domains and cognition in distance collaboration. *Journal of Music, Technology & Education*, 8(2), 111–128.
- Rotondi, A. (2021). Modern recording technology and the music student: How formal and informal recording facilitates music learning. *Canadian Music Educator/Musicien Educateur au Canada*, 62(4).
- Shelvock, M. (2019). Socio-technical networks and music production competencies: Towards an actor network theory of hip hop beat-making. In *Producing music* (pp. 9–31). Routledge.



- Sweller, J. (1994). Cognitive load theory, learning difficulty, and instructional design. *Learning and Instruction*, 4(4), 295–312.
- Tromp, C., & Baer, J. (2022). Creativity from constraints: Theory and applications to education. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 46, 101184.
- Walzer, D. (2020). Blurred lines: Practical and theoretical implications of a DAW-based pedagogy. *Journal of Music Technology & Education*, 13(1), 79–94.
- Zaddach, W. G. (2024). Artificial intelligence and the creative practice of professional songwriting. *Song and Popular Culture*, 69, 137–158.